

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,644 08/28/2001	Noriyuki Arai	2185-0570P	4527
2292 7590 09/05/2002	!		
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH	& BIRCH	EXAM	INER
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-074	7	SHORT, PA	TRICIA A
The end on the second of the s	•		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1712	6
		DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09 1939644 Applicant(s) Examiner Group Art Unit 1712
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defective to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>	
□ Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	ept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s) - 8	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Papers	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ In a drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ob☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ob☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner
Claim(s) Cla	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ejected to by the Examiner  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ob ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Claim or declaration is made of a claim for foreign prioritic ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. ety under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ijected to by the Examiner  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Cartified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  spected to by the Examiner  by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received  onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  spected to by the Examiner  by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received  onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Cartifled copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received: □ □ Certified copies not received: □ Certified copies not received: □ Certif	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  spected to by the Examiner  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received  onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Cartifled copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received: □ □ Certified copies not received: □ Certified copies not received: □ Certif	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ijected to by the Examiner  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  In received.  In received in Application No.  ents have been received  onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ is/are ob □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Alt □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been □ Copies of the priority do	is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement is approved disapproved. spected to by the Examiner  ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d). en received. en received in Application No. ents have been received anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  No(s). Interview Summary, PTO–413
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation* Certified copies not received: □  Attachment(s)	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement  is approved disapproved.  ijected to by the Examiner  ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).  en received.  en received in Application No.  ents have been received  onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))  No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: species for the liquid crystal polyester structural units that are (1), (2), (3) and (4).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-3, 7 and 8 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 1-8 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising species for the thermoplastic resin that are polycarbonate, polysulfone, polyarylate, polyphenylene sulfide, polyphenylene ether, polybutylene terephthalate, polyethylene terephthalate and polyamide. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 09/939,644 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

PATRICIA A. SHORT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Papier a Sht

P. Short

August 29, 2002

Phone (703) 308-2395

Fax (703) 872-9310